



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/057,749 | 04/09/1998 | MALCOM B. STRANDBERG | DAVOX-144XX | DAVOX-144XX 6738 | |
| 28452 | 7590 03/12/2003 | | | | |
| BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 303 | | | TIEU, BEN | TIEU, BENNY QUOC | |
| MANCHEST | ER, NH 03104 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2642 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

| - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/057,749 | STRANDBERG, MALCO | М В. |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Benny Q. Tieu | 2642 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application | in |
| _ | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office | MPEP te extension te extension e action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | • | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | pelow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplif | ying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | • | | |
| B. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed ame | endment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT pla | ace the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were ne | wly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amendment of the pr | | | an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 8-14. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | roved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | |
| 0. Other: | | penne Q. The | ~ |
| | | Benny C. Tieu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2642 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





*Continuation of 2. NOTE: At least proposed amendment of claim 1 raises new issues that require further consideration and search.